

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,886	36 06/25/2003		Kieran P. Murphy	331202.00007	2271
27160	7590	08/26/2005		EXAMINER	
		ROSENMAN LI	THANH, LOAN H		
525 WEST N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60661-3693	1-3093		3763	

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Tala					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/602,886	MURPHY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LoAn H. Thanh	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F	PEDI VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MI	ONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of the provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory and the provided for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>01 June 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	ti i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice ur	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are pending in the appl	Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08) 5) Notice of II	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·					

Application/Control Number: 10/602,886

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Howe (USPN 3,540,447).

Howe discloses a spinal catheter having a narrow end and a waist at a distance from the narrow end. The waist is wider than the narrow end. See figure 1-4 and specifically 2.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Goebel et al. (USPAP 2003/0018317).

Goebel discloses a catheter having a narrow end and a waist disposed at about 5 cm from the narrow end which meets the limitation of a range of from about 2 to 8 inches.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goebel et al. (US PAP 2003/0018317) .

Goebel et al. disclose the invention as substantially claimed. However, Goebel does not disclose a predetermined distance of from about 3 to about 7 inches or about 6 inches. Goebel et al. disclose a the catheter to be 1000 mm long and having a distal portion of at least 10 mm and an embodiment specifically with a predetermined length of about 5 cm. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the predetermined range length/size as claimed as a mere design choice lacking any criticality of size as being merely preferable for the intended target (lumbar puncture) area depending on the size of the patient where the only difference between the prior art and the claims are a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device is not patentably distinct from the prior art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/01/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claims 13 and 14 the arguments are not persuasive.

Applicant is reminded that the claims are directed to a device. Where the device is capable of performing the intended use it still anticipates the claim. Further, Applicant is arguing more narrow than claimed with respect to claims 13-14.

With respect to claims 15-17 which are newly added claims, a new rejection has been applied and the Howe reference does not apply to claims 15-17 in view of applicant's arguments.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3763

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4966. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (First Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LoAn H. Thanh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763